

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,589	1	04/08/2004	Kohei Yamada	3234/1	8154
23638	7590	12/16/2005		EXAMINER	
ADAMS EVANS P.A.				SELLS. JAMES D	
2180 TWO \	NACHOV	IA CENTER			
CHARLOTTE, NC 28282				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1734	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/820,589	YAMADA, KOHEI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Sells	1734				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u>. </u>					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	c(s)		·				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE · No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohm et al (US Patent 5,230,940) in view of Beggs et al (US Patent 4,384,020).

Bohm discloses a process and system for forming textile materials. As shown in the figures, latex layer 3, barrier layer 4 and backcoating 5 are applied to one side of layer 1 and tufts 2 are applied to the other to form a noise absorbing carpet. Various materials may be employed in the latex, barrier and backcoating layers (see col. 2, line 3 through col. 4, line 58 and examples 1-2).

However, Bohm does not disclose perforating the backing later in the manner claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Beggs.

Beggs discloses a noise attenuating structure. As shown in Fig. 1, the structure 8 comprises facing sheet 14 with a plurality of perforations 18 formed therethrough. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a plurality of perforations in a sheet material, as taught by Beggs, in the process and system of Bohm in order to improve noise attenuation. In addition, without the disclosure of unexpected results, it is the examiner's position that the specific perforating and

Application/Control Number: 10/820,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

bonding techniques claimed by the applicant are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the process and system of Bohm in order to facilitate production of the textile materials.

Telephone/Fax

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

JAMES SELLS PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH. CENTER 1700